

**BLISS REST AREA  
PWS # 5240004  
SOURCE WATER ASSESSMENT FINAL REPORT**

---

**September 1, 2005**



**State of Idaho  
Department of Environmental Quality**

**Disclaimer:** This publication has been developed as part of an informational service for the source water assessments of public water systems in Idaho and is based on the data available at the time and the professional judgement of the staff. Although reasonable efforts have been made to present accurate information, no guarantees, including expressed or implied warranties of any kind, are made with respect to this publication by the State of Idaho or any of its agencies, employees, or agents, who also assume no legal responsibility for the accuracy of presentations, comments, or other information in this publication. The assessment is subject to modification if new data is produced.

## Executive Summary

Under the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996, all states are required by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to assess every source of public drinking water for its relative sensitivity to contaminants regulated by the Act. The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) is completing the assessments for all Idaho public drinking water systems. The assessment for this particular drinking water source is based on a land use inventory within a 1,000-foot radius of the drinking water source, sensitivity factors associated with the source, and characteristics associated with either the aquifer or watershed in which the source is located.

This report, *Source Water Assessment for Bliss Rest Area: Public Water System (PWS) #5240004* describes the public drinking water system, the associated potential contaminant sources located within a 1,000-foot boundary around the drinking water source, and the susceptibility that may be associated with any associated potential contaminants. This assessment should be used as a planning tool, taken into account with local knowledge and concerns, to develop and implement appropriate protection measures for this system. **The results should not be used as an absolute measure of risk and is not intended to undermine the confidence in your water system.**

The Bliss Rest Area drinking water system consists of two wells. The system serves approximately 25 people through 2 connections.


Final susceptibility scores are derived from System Construction scores, Hydrologic Sensitivity scores, and Potential Contaminant/Land Use scores. Potential Contaminants/Land Uses are divided into four categories, inorganic contaminants (IOCs, i.e. nitrates, arsenic), volatile organic contaminants (VOCs, i.e. petroleum products), synthetic organic contaminants (SOCs, i.e. pesticides), and microbial contaminants (i.e. bacteria). As different wells can be subject to various contamination settings, separate scores are given for each type of contaminant.

In terms of overall susceptibility, Well #2 rated moderate susceptibility for IOCs, VOCs, SOCs, and microbial potential contaminants. System construction rated high susceptibility and hydrologic sensitivity rated moderate susceptibility. Land use scores rated moderate susceptibility for IOCs, VOCs, SOCs, and microbial contaminants.

In terms of overall susceptibility, Well #1 rated moderate susceptibility for IOCs, VOCs, SOCs, and microbial potential contaminants. System construction and hydrologic sensitivity rated moderate susceptibility. Land use scores rated moderate susceptibility for IOCs, VOCs, SOCs, and microbial contaminants.

No VOCs, SOCs, or microbial contaminants have ever been detected in tested water. Water tests have detected nitrates in concentrations less than 1.1 parts per million (ppm), significantly less than the EPA's maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 10 ppm.

**FIGURE 1 Site Vicinity Map of Bliss Rest Area**



Based on the initial computer generated contaminant source inventory conducted by the DEQ, there are two potential contaminant sources located within the 1,000-foot boundary. This information has been summarized and included in Table 1. A copy of the susceptibility analysis worksheet for your system along with a map showing any potential contaminant sources is included with this summary.

**Table 1. Bliss Rest Area, Potential Contaminant Inventory**

SITE #	Source Description <sup>1</sup>	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants <sup>2</sup>
	Interstate 84	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbials
	Roadway/parking lot	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbials
	Evaporative Lagoons (waste water)	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbials

<sup>2</sup>IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

## Susceptibility Analysis

The water system's susceptibility to contamination was ranked as high, moderate, or low risk according to the following considerations: hydrologic characteristics, physical integrity of the well, land use characteristics, and potentially significant contaminant sources. The susceptibility rankings are specific to a particular potential contaminant or category of contaminants.

Therefore, a high susceptibility rating relative to one potential contaminant does not mean that the water system is at the same risk for all other potential contaminants. The relative ranking that is derived for each well is a qualitative, screening-level step that, in many cases, uses generalized assumptions and best professional judgement. The following summaries describe the rationale for the susceptibility ranking.

## Hydrologic Sensitivity

The hydrologic sensitivity of a well is dependent upon four factors: the surface soil composition, the material in the vadose zone (between the land surface and the water table), the depth to first ground water, and the presence of a 50-foot thick fine-grained zone above the producing zone of the well. Slowly draining soils such as silt and clay typically are more protective of ground water than coarse-grained soils such as sand and gravel. Similarly, fine-grained sediments in the subsurface and a water depth of more than 300 feet protect the ground water from contamination.

The hydrologic sensitivity rated moderate susceptibility for Well #1. Regional soil data indicates the presence of poorly- to moderately-drained soils surrounding the well bore and an aquitard is present above the producing zone of the well. The moderate rating was received because the water table depth is unknown, and therefore it is also unknown if the vadose zone is composed of predominantly permeable materials.

Well #2 also rated moderate susceptibility for hydrologic sensitivity. Regional soil data indicates the presence of poorly- to moderately-drained soils surrounding the well bore and the water table is more than 300 feet below ground surface. The moderate rating was received because an aquitard is not present above the producing zone of the well and the vadose zone is composed of predominantly permeable materials.

## **Well Construction**

Well construction directly affects the ability of the well to protect the aquifer from contaminants. System construction scores are reduced when information shows that potential contaminants will have a more difficult time reaching the intake of the well. Lower scores imply a system is less vulnerable to contamination. For example, if the well casing and annular seal both extend into a low permeability unit, then the possibility of contamination is reduced and the system construction score goes down. If the highest production interval is more than 100 feet below the water table, then the system is considered to have better buffering capacity. If the wellhead and surface seal are maintained to standards, as outlined in sanitary surveys, then contamination down the well bore is less likely. If the well is protected from surface flooding and is outside the 100-year floodplain, then contamination from surface events is reduced.

Well #2, originally served both the East and West bound rest area site. The rest area was reconstructed in 2004 and a new pump installed in Well #2 and now serves only the East bound area. A new well (Well #1) was constructed on the West bound site during reconstruction and now serves that site. The two wells are interconnected with valves for emergency use.

Well #2 rated high susceptibility for system construction. According to the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), the well is not within a 100-year floodplain, and according to the 2004 sanitary survey, the wellhead and surface seal are maintained. However, although the casing is seated into a low permeability unit, the surface seal is not. Additionally, because the water table depth is unknown, it is also unknown if the highest production comes from more than 100 feet below static water depth.

Well #1 rated moderate susceptibility for system construction. According to the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), the well is not within a 100-year floodplain, the 2004 sanitary survey indicates the wellhead and surface seal are maintained, and the well log indicates that the casing and annular seal are seated into low permeability units. . The moderate rating was received because the highest production comes from less than 100 feet below static water depth.

The Idaho Department of Water Resources *Well Construction Standards Rules* (1993) require all Public Water Systems (PWSs) to follow DEQ standards as well. IDAPA 58.01.08.550 requires that PWSs follow the *Recommended Standards for Water Works* (1997) during construction. Some of the requirements include casing thickness, well tests, and depth and formation type that the surface seal must be installed into. Table 1 of the *Recommended Standards for Water Works* (1997) lists the required steel casing thickness for various diameter wells. Six-inch diameter wells require a casing thickness of at least 0.288-inches and 8-inch casing are required to be at least 0.322 inches thick.

Well tests are required at the design pumping rate for 24 hours or until stabilized drawdown has continued for at least six hours when pumping at 1.5 times the design pumping rate. Because neither well meets all current construction standards, they were assessed an additional point for system construction.

### Potential Contaminant Source and Land Use

Both wells rated moderate for IOC's (e.g., arsenic, nitrate), VOC's (e.g., petroleum products), SOC's (e.g., pesticides), and for microbial contaminants (e.g., bacteria). The small number of potential contaminant sites (Table 1), lack of urbanization and agricultural activity contributed to the scores.

### Final Susceptibility Rating

An IOC detection above a drinking water limit, any detection of a VOC or SOC, or a detection of total coliform bacteria or fecal coliform bacteria at the wellhead will automatically give a high susceptibility rating to a well, despite the land use of the area, because a pathway for contamination already exists. Additionally, having potential contaminant sources within 50 feet of the wellhead will give an automatic high susceptibility rating.

If an automatic high rating is not received, overall system ratings are derived by equally weighting hydrologic sensitivity and system construction scores. Potential contaminant sources in the 0- to 3-year time-of-travel zone (Zone 1B) also contribute greatly to the overall ranking.

In terms of overall susceptibility, the system rated moderate susceptibility for IOC's, VOC's, SOC's, and microbial potential contaminants

**Table 2. Summary of Bliss Rest Area Susceptibility Evaluation**

Well	Susceptibility Scores <sup>1</sup>									
	Hydrologic Sensitivity	Contaminant Inventory				System Construction	Final Susceptibility Ranking			
		IOC	VOC	SOC	Microbials		IOC	VOC	SOC	Microbials
Well #2	M	M	M	M	M	H	M	M	M	M
Well #1	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M

<sup>1</sup>H = High Susceptibility, M = Moderate Susceptibility, L = Low Susceptibility,

IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

## **Options for Drinking Water Protection**

This assessment should be used as a basis for determining appropriate new protection measures or re-evaluating existing protection efforts. No matter what ranking a source receives, protection is always important. Whether the source is currently located in a “pristine” area or an area with numerous industrial and/or agricultural land uses, the way to ensure good water quality in the future is to act now to protect valuable water supply resources.

For Bliss Rest Area, drinking water protection activities should focus on ensuring compliance with the 2004 sanitary survey and minimizing spills or releases associated with the transportation corridors and sewage lagoons within the designated source water area.

Partnerships with state and local agencies and industry groups should be established and are critical to success.

Due to the time involved with the movement of ground water, drinking water protection activities should be aimed at long-term management strategies even though these strategies may not yield results in the near term. A strong public education program should be a primary focus of any drinking water protection plan. There are multiple resources available to help communities implement protection programs, including the Drinking Water Academy of the EPA. For areas where transportation corridors transect the delineation, the Idaho Department of Transportation should be included in protection activities. Drinking water protection activities for agriculture should be coordinated with the Idaho State Department of Agriculture, the Soil Conservation Commission, the local Soil Conservation District, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service.



## Assistance

Public water suppliers and others may call the following DEQ offices with questions about this assessment and to request assistance with developing and implementing a local protection plan. In addition, draft protection plans may be submitted to the DEQ office for preliminary review and comments.

Twin Falls Regional DEQ Office      (208) 736-2190

State DEQ Office                              (208) 373-0502

Website: <http://www.deq.state.id.us>

Water suppliers serving fewer than 10,000 persons may contact Melinda Harper, [mlharper@idahoruralwater.com](mailto:mlharper@idahoruralwater.com), Idaho Rural Water Association, at 208-343-7001 for assistance with drinking water protection (formerly wellhead protection) strategies.



Figure 2. Bliss Rest Area Delineation Map and Potential Contaminant Source Locations



0 500 1000 Feet



**PWS# 5240004**  
**Well #1, #2**

## POTENTIAL CONTAMINANT INVENTORY LIST OF ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

**AST (Aboveground Storage Tanks)** – Sites with aboveground storage tanks.

**Business Mailing List** – This list contains potential contaminant sites identified through a yellow pages database search of standard industry codes (SIC).

**CERCLIS** – This includes sites considered for listing under the **Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)**. CERCLA, more commonly known as ASuperfund, is designed to clean up hazardous waste sites that are on the national priority list (NPL).

**Cyanide Site** – DEQ permitted and known historical sites/facilities using cyanide.

**Dairy** – Sites included in the primary contaminant source inventory represent those facilities regulated by Idaho State Department of Agriculture (ISDA) and may range from a few head to several thousand head of milking cows.

**Deep Injection Well** – Injection wells regulated under the Idaho Department of Water Resources generally for the disposal of stormwater runoff or agricultural field drainage.

**Enhanced Inventory** – Enhanced inventory locations are potential contaminant source sites added by the water system. These can include new sites not captured during the primary contaminant inventory, or corrected locations for sites not properly located during the primary contaminant inventory. Enhanced inventory sites can also include miscellaneous sites added by the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ) during the primary contaminant inventory.

**Floodplain** – This is a coverage of the 100year floodplains.

**Group 1 Sites** – These are sites that show elevated levels of contaminants and are not within the priority one areas.

**Inorganic Priority Area** – Priority one areas where greater than 25% of the wells/springs show constituents higher than primary standards or other health standards.

**Landfill** – Areas of open and closed municipal and non-municipal landfills.

**LUST (Leaking Underground Storage Tank)** – Potential contaminant source sites associated with leaking underground storage tanks as regulated under RCRA.

**Mines and Quarries** – Mines and quarries permitted through the Idaho Department of Lands.)

**Nitrate Priority Area** – Area where greater than 25% of wells/springs show nitrate values above 5mg/l.

**NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System)** – Sites with NPDES permits. The Clean Water Act requires that any discharge of a pollutant to waters of the United States from a point source must be authorized by an NPDES permit.

**Organic Priority Areas** – These are any areas where greater than 25 % of wells/springs show levels greater than 1% of the primary standard or other health standards.

**Recharge Point** – This includes active, proposed, and possible recharge sites on the Snake River Plain.

**RICRIS** – Site regulated under **Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA)**. RCRA is commonly associated with the cradle to grave management approach for generation, storage, and disposal of hazardous wastes.

**SARA Tier II (Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act Tier II Facilities)** – These sites store certain types and amounts of hazardous materials and must be identified under the Community Right to Know Act.

**Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)** – The toxic release inventory list was developed as part of the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know (Community Right to Know) Act passed in 1986. The Community Right to Know Act requires the reporting of any release of a chemical found on the TRI list.

**UST (Underground Storage Tank)** – Potential contaminant source sites associated with underground storage tanks regulated as regulated under RCRA.

**Wastewater Land Applications Sites** – These are areas where the land application of municipal or industrial wastewater is permitted by IDEQ.

**Wellheads** – These are drinking water well locations regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act. They are not treated as potential contaminant sources.

**NOTE:** Many of the potential contaminant sources were located using a geocoding program where mailing addresses are used to locate a facility. Field verification of potential contaminant sources is an important element of an enhanced inventory.

Where possible, a list of potential contaminant sites unable to be located with geocoding will be provided to water systems to determine if the potential contaminant sources are located within the source water assessment area.

The final scores for the susceptibility analysis were determined using the following formulas:

- 1) VOC/SOC/IOC Final Score = Hydrologic Sensitivity + System Construction + (Potential Contaminant/Land Use x 0.273)
- 2) Microbial Final Score = Hydrologic Sensitivity + System Construction + (Potential Contaminant/Land Use x 0.35)

Final Susceptibility Scoring:

0 - 5    Low Susceptibility

6 - 12   Moderate Susceptibility

≥ 13    High Susceptibility

1. System Construction		SCORE			
Drill Date	1968				
Driller Log Available	YES				
Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey)	YES	2004			
Well meets IDWR construction standards	NO	1			
Wellhead and surface seal maintained	YES	0			
Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit	NO	2			
Highest production 100 feet below static water level	UNK	1			
Well located outside the 100 year flood plain	YES	0			
Total System Construction Score		5			
2. Hydrologic Sensitivity					
Soils are poorly to moderately drained	YES	0			
Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown	YES	1			
Depth to first water > 300 feet	UNK	1			
Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness	YES	0			
Total Hydrologic Score		2			
3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A		IOC Score	VOC Score	SOC Score	Microbial Score
Land Use Zone 1A	RANGELAND	0	0	0	0
Farm chemical use high	YES	2	0	0	
IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Total Potential Contaminant Source/Land Use Score - Zone 1A		2	0	0	0
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B					
Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources)	YES	3	3	3	3
(Score = # Sources X 2 ) 8 Points Maximum		6	6	6	6
Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or	YES	3	3	3	
4 Points Maximum		3	3	3	
Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area	NO	0	0	0	0
Land use Zone 1B	Less Than 25% Agricultural Land	0	0	0	0
Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B		9 (M)	9 (M)	9 (M)	6 (M)
Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score		3	2	2	2
4. Final Susceptibility Source Score		10 (M)	9 (M)	9 (M)	9 (M)
5. Final Well Ranking		Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate

1. System Construction		SCORE			
Drill Date	2004				
Driller Log Available	yes				
Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey)	YES	2004			
Well meets IDWR construction standards	NO	1			
Wellhead and surface seal maintained	YES	0			
Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit	YES	0			
Highest production 100 feet below static water level	NO	1			
Well located outside the 100 year flood plain	YES	0			
Total System Construction Score		2			
2. Hydrologic Sensitivity					
Soils are poorly to moderately drained	YES	0			
Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown	YES	1			
Depth to first water > 300 feet	YES	0			
Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness	NO	1			
Total Hydrologic Score		2			
3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A		IOC Score	VOC Score	SOC Score	Microbial Score
Land Use Zone 1A	RANGELAND	0	0	0	0
Farm chemical use high	YES	2	0	0	
IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Total Potential Contaminant Source/Land Use Score - Zone 1A		2	0	0	0
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B					
Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources)	YES	3	3	3	3
(Score = # Sources X 2 ) 8 Points Maximum		6	6	6	6
Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or	YES	3	3	3	
4 Points Maximum		3	3	3	
Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area	NO	0	0	0	0
Land use Zone 1B	Less Than 25% Agricultural Land	0	0	0	0
Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B		9 (M)	9 (M)	9 (M)	6 (M)
Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score		3	2	2	2
4. Final Susceptibility Source Score		7 (M)	6 (M)	6 (M)	6 (M)
5. Final Well Ranking		Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate